

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEROME L. GRIMES,

Plaintiff,

v.

OFFICER MILLER, et al.,

Defendants.

No. C 13-4349 JSW (PR)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Plaintiff, an inmate in the San Francisco County Jail and frequent litigator in this Court, has recently filed this pro se civil rights case. On May 18, 2000, this Court informed Plaintiff that under the "three-strikes" provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) he generally is ineligible to proceed *in forma pauperis* in federal court with civil actions filed while he is incarcerated. *See Grimes v. Oakland Police Dept.*, C 00-1100 CW (Order Dismissing Complaint, 5/18/00). Since then, Plaintiff has continued to file hundreds of civil rights actions seeking *in forma pauperis* status. With respect to each action filed, the Court conducts a preliminary review to assess the nature of the allegations and to determine whether Plaintiff alleges facts which bring him within the "imminent danger of serious physical injury" exception to § 1915(g). In the past, Plaintiff has routinely been granted leave to amend to pay the full filing fee and to state cognizable claims for relief, but he has habitually failed to do so. For example, in 2003 alone Plaintiff's failure to comply resulted in the dismissal of approximately thirty-six actions under § 1915(g).

1 In accord with this ongoing practice, the Court has reviewed the allegations in the
2 present action and finds that Plaintiff alleges no facts which bring him within the
3 “imminent danger” clause. The complaint makes a number of highly implausible or
4 unintelligible allegations, such as “remote control metal detector alarm and alarm
5 tampering paramilitary covert terror tool used in the hurricane Katrina levee bonds
6 simultaneous remote control device.” Plaintiff has been informed on numerous
7 occasions that such allegations do not establish imminent danger nor do they state
8 cognizable claims for relief. Therefore, it would be futile to grant Plaintiff leave to
9 amend.

10 Accordingly, this case is DISMISSED without prejudice under § 1915(g). If
11 Plaintiff is so inclined, he may bring his claims in a new action accompanied by the
12 \$400.00 filing fee. In any event, the Court will continue to review under § 1915(g) all
13 future actions filed by Plaintiff while he is incarcerated in which he seeks *in forma*
14 *pauperis* status.

15 The Clerk of the Court shall close the files and terminate all pending motions in
16 the cases listed in the caption of this order.

17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 DATED: October 3, 2013

19 
20 JEFFREY S. WHITE
21 United States District Judge
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEROME GRIMES,

Plaintiff,

v.

MILLER et al,

Defendant.

Case Number: CV13-04349 JSW


CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on October 3, 2013, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Jerome L. Grimes
San Francisco County Jail
1 Moreland Drive
#122233182
San Bruno, CA 94066

Dated: October 3, 2013


Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk